

The Love Consortium Dataverse Metadata Worksheet Example:

Description for the Carolina Couples Study, which is currently described in The Love Consortium Dataverse, cited as:

Algoe, Sara; Fredrickson, Barbara (2019) Carolina Couples Study, 2008 (“CC08”), <https://doi.org/10.15139/S3/MFYEHZ>, UNC Dataverse, V1

Citation Metadata		
Metadata Field Label	Description	RESPONSES HERE
Title*	Full title by which the Dataset is known	Carolina Couples Study, 2008 (“CC08”)
Author* +	The person(s), corporate body(ies), or agency(ies) responsible for creating the work	
Name*	The author’s FamilyName, GivenName or Name of organization responsible for this Dataset	Algoe, Sara Fredrickson, Barbara
Affiliation	The organization with which the author is affiliated	University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill
Identifier Scheme	Name of the identifier scheme (ORCID, ISNI)	ORCID
Identifier	Uniquely identifies an individual author or organization according to various schemes	0000-0002-1273-2968
Contact* +	The contact(s) for this Dataset	
Name	The contact(s) FamilyName, GivenName or the name of the organization	Algoe, Sara
Affiliation	The organization with which the contact is affiliated	University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill
Email*	The e-mail address(es) of the contact(s) for the Dataset. This will not be displayed. This can be changed later if the contact(s) e-mail address changes	algoe@unc.edu
Description - Text*	A summary describing the purpose, nature, and scope of the Dataset	80 romantic couples (N = 160) from Chapel Hill, North Carolina and the surrounding region (e.g., Durham, NC; U. S. A.) enrolled in a two week observational study. 88 people from 55 couples also completed an unplanned follow-up survey in response to an email sent approximately six months after study completion.

To be eligible, they must have been at least 18 years old and in a romantic relationship for a minimum of 6 months.

On average, participants were in their late 20s (range 18-57), had been involved in their romantic relationship for just more than four years, and the majority identified as White/Caucasian (74.4%). 77 of the 80 couples were heterosexual.

Participants independently completed a 45-minute online questionnaire in the days prior to their first lab session. The couple attended the lab session together. There, we took baseline recordings of physiological activity, then the participants participated in a series of structured videorecorded conversations about everyday topics, and privately answered brief questionnaires on a laptop after each conversation. Psychophysiology was also assessed during these conversations. Topics were:

- How the couple first met (joint conversation).
- Disclosure of a negative personal event (each couple-member disclosed, giving each couple-member a chance to provide support).
- Disclosure of a positive personal event (each couple-member disclosed, giving each couple-member a chance to respond to the capitalization situation).

For the subsequent two weeks (14 nights), couple-members independently completed a brief nightly questionnaire about their day (including personal well-being and interactions with the partner).

Two weeks after the initial lab session, the couple attended a second lab session. There, baseline psychophysiology was again assessed, they participated in two structured videorecorded conversations in which one member of the couple expressed gratitude to the other and privately answered questionnaires after each conversation. Psychophysiology was assessed during these conversations. A final questionnaire assessed compliance, willingness to be recontacted, and consent to use transcripts and videos/images in various contexts in the future.

		<p>Participants in this study provided saliva samples that were used for genotyping.</p> <p>University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill IRB# 07-1988</p>
Description – Date	Date the description was written. In cases where a Dataset contains more than one description (for example, one might be supplied by the data producer and another prepared by the data repository where the data are deposited), the date attribute is used to distinguish between the two descriptions	2019-08-30
Subject*	Domain-specific Subject Categories that are topically relevant to the Dataset	Social Sciences
Keyword (Optional)	Key terms that describe important aspects of the Dataset	Videorecorded conversations include capitalization, social support, expressed gratitude; experience sampling; positive psychology constructs
Related Publication +	Publications that use the data from this Dataset	<p>Algoe, S. B., Fredrickson, B. L., & Gable, S. L. (2013). The social functions of the emotion of gratitude via expression. <i>Emotion, 13</i>(4), 605-609. doi: 10.1037/a0032701</p> <p>Algoe, S. B. & Way, B. (2014). Evidence for a role of the oxytocin system, indexed by genetic variation in <i>CD38</i>, in the social bonding effects of expressed gratitude. <i>Social Cognitive and Affective Neuroscience</i>; doi: 10.1093/scan/nst182</p> <p>Kurtz, L. E., & Algoe, S. B. (2015). Putting laughter in context: Shared laughter as a behavioral indicator of relationship well-being. <i>Personal Relationships</i>, doi: 10.1111/per.12095</p> <p>Algoe, S. B., Kurtz, L. E., & Hilaire, N. M. (2016). Putting the “you” in “thank you”: er-praising behavior explains the role of ressed gratitude in social life. <i>Social</i></p>

Commented [AS1]: Note: Each publication should be entered into its own text box; additional publications can be added by clicking the “+” symbol next to the first text box.

		<i>Psychological and Personality Science</i> , 7(7), 658-666. doi: 10.1177/1948550616651681
ID Type		Ex: doi
ID Number		Ex: 10.1177/0956797617716922
URL		Ex: https://doi.org/10.1177/0956797617716922
Notes	Additional important information about the Dataset. Notes would be used for more nuanced information outside of the fields provided in the submission template	Dr. Baldwin Way developed the saliva collection procedure and conducted genotyping on the samples. Data collected by the following volunteers: Jordan (Whitney) Akers, Leslie Allison, Jenny Bridgers, Katelyn Brown-Gomez, Alese Harris, Hannah Kirby, Nathan Kretschmar, Laura Kurtz, Alysson Light, Megan McMurray, Nicholas Miller, Marion Smith, and Margaret Taylor.
Grant Information +	Information on Funding Agency	
Grant Agency		National Institute of Mental Health and National Science Foundation
Grant Number		MH59615 and 0615478
Depositor	Person depositing the data and the date it was deposited	Automatically generated by Dataverse
Date of Collection	Contains the Start and End date(s) when the data were collected	Main study Start – 2008-01-15, End – 2008-08-05 6 month follow up Start – 2008-07-25, End – 2009-03-16
Geospatial Metadata		
Geographic Coverage +	Specifies the primary geographic location(s) where data were collected, if any.	
Country/Nation	Select from drop-down list of countries	United States
State/Province		North Carolina
City		Chapel Hill, and surrounding area
The Love Consortium Metadata		
Commonly Used Love Assessment	Specify any of these commonly used love assessments present in the current Dataset to facilitate searchability as well as collaboration on love.	See Excel spreadsheet
Commonly Studied Constructs	Specify any of these commonly studied constructs present in the current Dataset to facilitate searchability as well as collaboration.	See Excel spreadsheet
Population Unit*	The population unit from whom data in the Dataset were collected.	See Excel spreadsheet: Both members of a dyad

Commented [AS2]: One can specify multiple funders in different lines, adding a funding source by clicking the “+” symbol next to this field. (It won’t accept multiple grants listed in the same line as I have here.)

Focal Relationship Type*	The type of relationship people were required to be in for study eligibility, if any. Multiple selections are permitted.	See Excel spreadsheet: Romantic
Age Group	Standardized categories for age ranges of human populations; apply the most specific age group(s) relevant to participants in the Dataset. Multiple selections are permitted.	See Excel spreadsheet: Adulthood
Sample Racial/Ethnic Composition	Data on racial and ethnic identification of participants in the Dataset, when available.	Self-identified race/ethnicity: 74.4% White/Caucasian, 12.5% Black/African-American, 1.9% East Asian (i.e., Chinese, Japanese, Vietnamese), 2.5% South Asian (Indian, Pakistani, Burmese), 5% indicated "other", 3.8% did not report; 3.8% Hispanic
Sample Size*	The number of individual participants in the study sample. If these participants were recruited in units, adding that number and unit is permitted (e.g., 300 participants from 150 roommate pairs).	160 individuals from 80 couples
Methodology*	Commonly used methods for studying social behavior and relationships. Multiple selections are permitted.	See Excel spreadsheet: Audio- and/or video-recording; Behavioral task; Biological sample; Experience sampling; Follow-up assessment(s); Psychophysiology; Surveys
Longitudinal Component	The number of follow-up assessments, if any, and how long each was assessed after baseline (e.g., days, weeks, months, years).	142 people who had consented to be re-contacted (92.2% of sample) received an emailed invitation for a brief online survey 6-9 months after participation.
Clinical Trial	The specific clinical trial that produced the Dataset, if any.	N/A
Collaboration Notes	Information about guidelines and expectations for collaboration with this Dataset.	Dr. Algoe will review proposals every couple of weeks and is generally open to collaboration with this dataset, provided that the goals of the collaboration do not conflict with prior or current use of the data. Her considerations for collaboration include feasibility, novelty, and potential impact of the work. Out of consideration for you and other collaborations, she may decline or postpone the start of a potential collaboration due to prior obligations. Her expectation is that she or Dr. Fredrickson will be given the opportunity to participate in the planning, analysis, and writeup of any papers, and will be authors unless they choose not to be. Any student interested in the data must have support and

mentorship from their primary advisor. We do not have ethics approval to post these data online.

There are many more measures in the dataset than this form allows us to list. Please look at the attached Summary of Measures file for more information. To follow up, please use the "Contact" button above and include the dataset name (CC08) as well as the bottom line of your inquiry in the subject line of the email.